

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,280	06/20/2003	Robert F. Lake JR.	7505-1	6345
30448 7.	590 11/23/2005		EXAMINER	
AKERMAN SENTERFITT			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
P.O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WEST TREST PER ST. TE ST. TO ST.			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/600,280	LAKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Krisanne Jastrzab	1744		

	Misanie Sastizab	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complia time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of the content of	e later than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706 07(f)	THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	-ILEO MITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amo e shortened statutory period for reply ter than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	riate extension fee
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con	npliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see	rief, will <u>not</u> be entered b NOTE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(121. See attached Notice of Nons):	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 		te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ ovided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	out before or on the date of filing :	Alotica of Appeal will be	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap ary and was not earlier presented	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s).	
13. Other:		Krisanne Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744	Zh
		, Jine 1744	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendment required "said engaging preventing relative motion between said medical apparatus and said housing", however, the original disclosure fails to properly support any requirement with respect to the prevention of such relative motion..